

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-32280 of 2015

Date of decision : December 05, 2015

Ravi Pal

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE ANITA CHAUDHRY

Present: Mr. Sanjay Vashisth, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Deepak K. Grewal, DAG Haryana

ANITA CHAUDHRY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has joined the investigation. He further states that complainant is a married woman and perusal of the FIR would show that she had travelled to Gurgaon with the petitioner. He further states that the petitioner's father had given a complaint to the SSP. He also refers to the newspaper report Annexure P-5.

Admittedly the petitioner and complainant were on visiting terms. The petitioner is the neighbour. The allegations are that the prosecutrix was raped 2 ½ years ago. The allegations are that the complainant was taken to Gurgaon where she was raped. The prosecutrix is a married woman. No complaint is said to have made to the husband. No recovery is to be effected.

Considering the peculiar circumstances of the case and the fact that the the petitioner has joined the investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 21.09.2015 is hereby

made absolute subject to the condition that the petitioner will join the investigation as and when required by the police and will not tamper with evidence or hamper the investigation and will not leave India without permission of the Court and will comply with the conditions contained in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

December 05, 2015

reena

**(ANITA CHAUDHRY)
JUDGE**