

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M No. 32312 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.07.2015.**

Ajay Sharma Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NAVITA SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rajbir Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Pannu, DAG, Punjab, for the respondent.

Complainant in person.

NAVITA SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been moved by the petitioner under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 83 dated 15.08.2014, under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code of Police Station Budhlada, District Mansa.

The petitioner did not appear before the Mediator on two dates and only his counsel appeared. The Mediator observed that in the absence of the petitioner effective mediation could not be held. The petitioner is obviously not interested in settling the matter.

As stated by the complainant on 23.01.2015, bank account details have been produced showing that on the relevant date the amount of cheque was not available in the account of Surinder Kumar, uncle of the petitioner, who had issued the same.

Counsel for the petitioner reiterates his submission made on the

last date that the money was taken for sending Gurpreet Singh to Singapore, but there was no commitment that a work permit would be obtained for him.

An amount of Rs.4,60,000/- was charged by the petitioner for sending complainant – Gurpreet Singh abroad and such amount would only be paid by the person who would get work in another country. If he was to go as a visitor or tourist, he would have made all the arrangements himself.

State counsel also submits that there is another case against the petitioner by way of FIR No. 119 dated 25.07.2013 under Section 420 of the Indian Penal Code of Police Station Munak and, therefore, he should not be granted anticipatory bail.

In view of the facts detailed above, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out.

Dismissed.

(NAVITA SINGH)
JUDGE

24.07.2015

Ramesh