

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 32307 of 2014

Date of decision: 07.07.2015

Daljinder Singh @ Sonu and another **-----Petitioner (s)**
V/s

State of Haryana **-----Respondent(s)**

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Vivek Khatri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of fact that many developments have taken place during the pendency of the present petition i.e. challan has been presented in the case and the petitioner has been granted regular bail, the present petition may be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Faced with this situation, learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI-Rampal, does not dispute the aforesaid fact and further submits that charges have already been framed in the present case.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

July 07, 2015
Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**