

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-32207-2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January, 16, 2015**

Onkar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner .

Mr.K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 6 to 9.

Mr.L.M.Gulati, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

* * *

Naresh Kumar Sanghi, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is
for issuance of directions to the Investigating agency for fair
investigation.

Notice was issued and in compliance thereof Mr.
K.S.Pannu, DAG, Punjab has put in apperance on behalf of
respondents No.1 to 3, Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate has put in
appearance on behalf of the respondents No.4 and 6 to 9 and
Mr. L.M.Gulati, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of
respondent No.5.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Balwinder Singh of Police Station Sultanwind, District Amritsar, submits that after completion of the investigation, charge-sheet (report under Section 173 Cr.P.C.) was presented before the learned Area Judicial Magistrate. After completing the formalities, copies were supplied to the accused. The case was committed to the Court of Session where the charges have been framed. The petitioner has failed not only to show his grievance before the learned Area Judicial Magistrate but even at the time of framing of charges, no such contention was raised.

Learned counsel for the petitioner prays for time to verify the said fact.

This Court see no justification in granting the adjournment in view of the specific statement suffered by learned counsel for the State.

Since the charges have already been framed, the petitioner may seek the remedy before the learned trial Court in accordance with law.

Disposed of.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

January 16, 2015
anju