

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM No.M-32125 of 2015

Date of decision: 24.09.2015

Daljit Singh @ Jeeta

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Harsimrat Rai, DAG, Punjab.

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By filing the present petition under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner has sought regular bail in case FIR No.231, dated 25.12.2014, registered under Sections 420, 170 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code (for short 'IPC'), at Police Station City South Moga, District Moga.

It is contended that no amount as alleged by the complainant was received by the petitioner. The petitioner is not a travel agent. The co-brother and his wife have been shown to be the eye witnesses. The petitioner is in custody since 10.06.2015.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel opposes the prayer of bail and states that petitioner charged Rs. 7 lacs for sending the son of the complainant to Canada. The amount was paid in the

presence of one Bharpoor Singh and his wife. The challan stands presented; charges have been framed, however, out of 16 witnesses, none has been examined so far.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Keeping in view the fact that it is a magisterial trial. Out of total 16 witnesses, none has been examined so far, therefore, it can be safely inferred that the trial is not likely to be concluded in the near future, without adverting to the merits of the instant case, this petition is allowed. The petitioner be admitted to bail during the pendency of the trial, on his furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds, to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

Anything said herein above shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

24.09.2015

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE