

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32089 of 2015 (O&M).
Decided on:-30.09.2015.

Minakshi and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

Savita.

.....Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Arun Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate
for the respondent-complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in this petition is for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a complaint case No.37 of 2014 titled “Savita Versus Anoop Singh and others”, which is pending before the Court of Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Rewari. The complaint was filed under Section 306 read with Section 34 IPC, Police Station, Sadar Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners

have been summoned by the trial Court vide order dated 17.8.2015 to face trial in a complaint case. He further submits that in pursuance to the impugned order dated 17.8.2015, the petitioners surrendered before the trial Court on 10.9.2015 and since then, they are in custody.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further contends that the petitioners are not instrumental in the alleged suicide of Yudhbir Singh, who had died for different reasons. He further submits that the Additional Sessions Judge, Rewari vide order dated 14.9.2015 has dismissed the bail application of the petitioners on the premises that there are serious allegations of abetment of suicide in the case. He further submits that the present is a complaint case and, therefore, custodial interrogation of the petitioners is not required. However, as summoned by the trial Court, the petitioners are ready to face the trial.

On the other hand, learned counsel for the respondent-complainant submits that said Yudhbir Singh had died due to the circumstances created by the petitioners.

Heard.

Considering the fact that the petitioners are in custody since 10.9.2015 and present is a private complaint, the present petition is allowed and the petitioners are admitted to regular bail subject to their furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

It is made clear that the observations made hereinabove shall

not be construed as reflection of any opinion on the merits of the main case and the trial Court shall decide the case on the basis of availability of evidence.

September 30, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE