

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Crl. Misc. No. M-32141 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 13.02.2015

Amita Rani & anotherPetitioners
versus

State of Haryana & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Deepak Malhotra, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. C.S. Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.
Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate for respondents No.5 to 6.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 4 to provide adequate protection to the petitioners who are in the fear of their life and liberty at the hands of respondents No.5 and 6 and to direct respondents No.5 and 6 not to interfere in the married life of the petitioners.

On notice, reply has been filed by Deputy Superintendent of Police, City Karnal on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 and 4 that the ASI Sukhwinder Singh has enquired into the matter and has stated that a case bearing FIR No.655 dated 14.09.2014 under Section 365 IPC against Rajesh Kumar Bhatt-petitioner No.2 on the complaint of Seema Devi with the allegations that her daughter went to school on 12.09.2014 and she did not return back home. Thereafter, she found

that three gold ornaments about 4 tola weights and Rs.60,000/- were found stolen. On 20.09.2014, Amita Rani-petitioner No.1 appeared before the trial Court and got recorded her statement under Section 164 Cr.P.C. to the effect that the petitioners had solemnized marriage with each other at Mohali Gurdwara and she has voluntarily gone away with Rajesh Kumar Bhatt-petitioner No.2. Cancellation report in the FIR No.655 dated 14.09.2014 was thereafter prepared. Investigating Officer has visited the houses of respondents No.5 and 6 and have recorded their statements, in which they have categorically stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

13.02.2015
Vinay

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE