

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM NO. M-32046 OF 2014 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 30.01.2015**

Kultar Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. C. PURI

Present : Mr. S. S. Siao, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Navin Bawa, Advocate,
for the complainant.

K. C. PURI, J. (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has submitted that some gold ornaments along with other dowry articles have been recovered , but about eight tolas of gold ornaments are yet to be recovered from the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the complainant has submitted that ₹5 lacs was given at the time of marriage and ₹1 lac was given thereafter.

The said assertion is disputed by the petitioner. However, learned counsel for the petitioner has offered to deposit a sum of ₹2.50 lacs before the trial court in lieu of the alleged gold ornaments. The said amount be deposited before the trial

court within one month from today and the same shall be kept in the shape of FDR and shall be paid to the successful party.

So, in view of the above, the order dated 29.09.2014 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

However, it is made clear that in case the petitioner fails to deposit the said amount before the trial court, his bail petition would be deemed to have been dismissed.

Disposed of.

JANUARY 30, 2014
shalini

(K. C. PURI)
JUDGE