

***IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.***

Crl. Misc. No.M-31980 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2015

Parveen @ Kala

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ? (Yes/No)**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not ? (Yes/No)**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ? (Yes/No)**

Present:- Mr. Sarfraj Hussain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Malik, D.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.9 dated 09.01.2014 registered under Section 302 IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act at Police Station DLF Qutab Enclave, Gurgaon, District Gurgaon.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the case and he is in custody since 29.09.2014. He further submits that all material witnesses have been examined and only the official witnesses remained to be examined.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State submits that the trial is likely to be concluded as out of 28 witnesses, 18 witnesses have been

examined and the next date before the trial Court is fixed for 08.01.2016 and the remaining witnesses are likely to be examined on that very date.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties and keeping in view the stage and offence, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

However, the trial Court is directed to make all efforts to expedite the trial.

14.12.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE