

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT

CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-32012-2014

Date of Decision: September 24, 2015

Pale Ram Saini

...Petitioner

Versus

Raghbir and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Raja Sharma, Advocate,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar Yadav, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent No. 2.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the compromise effected between the private parties, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. He prays for withdrawal of the same.

Learned counsel for the respondents have no objection
to withdrawal of the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

September 24, 2015
Pkapoor