

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(335)

CRR No. 572 of 2007

Decided on: September 16, 2015.

Raman Kumar

.... Petitioner

Versus

T.L. Bhandari

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Ms. Manpreet Ghuman, Advocate, for
Mr. Deepak Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate, for
Mr. R.S. Bajaj, Advocate, for the respondent.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

After having been convicted under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act to undergo imprisonment for a period of one year by the Courts below, the petitioner, during pendency of this revision petition had paid a sum of Rs.30,000/- equivalent to the amount of cheque in the Court on 05.04.2007. Consequently the petitioner was released on bail.

It is pertinent to mention here that the petitioner had promised to pay a sum of Rs.50,000/- to the complainant before the Appellate Court.

Learned counsel for the respondent has submitted that in case, the petitioner pays the remaining amount, he has got no objection for disposal of this revision petition as having been compounded as per the judgment in **Damodar S. Prabhu Vs. Sayyad Baba Lal H., 2012 (2) RCR (Criminal) 851.**

This petition is disposed of directing the petitioner to pay additional costs of Rs.10,000/- to the respondent-complainant along with 15 per cent of the amount of the cheque within a period of one month.

In case, the said amount is not paid, this petition will be deemed to have been dismissed and the petitioner will be required to undergo the sentence of imprisonment as ordered by the Courts below on an application being filed by the complainant before the trial Court.

However, in case of payment of above said amount within the time as prescribed above, the conviction order will be deemed to have been set aside, matter having been compounded.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

September 16, 2015
harsha