

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.

CRM M-31850 of 2015

Date of Decision: September 18, 2015

Sukhchain Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of UT Chandigarh and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI.

-. -

Present:- Mr.K.B. Raheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

-. -

M.M.S. BEDI, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members and for a direction to the police officials not to harass him.

After going through the contents of the petition, it appears that prima facie there is no imminent threat to the life and liberty of the petitioner as the Innova Car alleged to have been purchased by the petitioner with loaned amount has already been returned to him.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that a representation has been submitted to SSP, Chandigarh, annexure P-3 with the grievances.

After going through the representation annexure P-3, it appears that the representation is not in the shape of a complaint which could be said to be an information under Section 154 (2)Cr.P.C. warranting any action for some cognizable offence as such I do not deem it appropriate to issue any direction on annexure P-3. It is, however, not out of place to observe here that there is a reference to certain documents having been retained by police incharge of Police Post, Sector 49, Chandigarh, belonging to the petitioner. The petitioner is directed to move an application before the officer concerned and in case the documents are not returned to him as alleged by him, it will be open to him to approach SSP, Chandigarh expecting that the grievance of the petitioner will be looked into by the SSP in such eventuality. This petition is disposed of at this stage warranting no action.

September 18, 2015
sanjay

(M.M.S.BEDI)
JUDGE