

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
2015.02.25 16:19
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM No. M-31875 of 2014 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.2.2015

Krishan Kumar @ Sonu

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Nikhil K. Chopra, Deputy A.G., Punjab.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

--

--

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The grouse of the petitioner is that a complaint has been filed against him by one Surjit Singh before Senior Superintendent of Police, Sangrur, and that he is apprehending the arrest.

In the reply, the State has revealed that the petitioner had sold a car to one Surjit Singh and that the registration of the said car was found to be fake. The petitioner neither returned the money nor returned the said car and an inquiry is being conducted.

In these circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the police that if the complaint is converted into an FIR, the petitioner be given three days' notice before his arrest.

Petition is accordingly disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.2.2015
sjks