

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36131-2011 (O&M)
Date of Decision: September 23, 2015

Vikas Tulsian and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Aftab Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. P.S. Ghuman, Addl. AG, Punjab,
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Yogesh Goel, Advocate,
for respondent No. 2.

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that during pendency of the present petition before this Court, better sense has prevailed and the informant/respondent No. 2, Pushp Jain, has sorted out his dispute with the petitioners and has no objection if the impugned FIR and all the consequential proceedings are terminated on the basis of settlement arrived at between both the private factions. They are also *ad idem* that the offence punishable under Section 420, IPC, is compoundable with permission of the Court. It has also been conceded that basically it was a case of business transactions.

In view of above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the learned Trial Court that if an application is presented before it for compounding of the offence, then the same shall be allowed after recording the statement of the informant/aggrieved person.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

September 23, 2015
Pkapoor