

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.410 of 2007.
Decided on:-20.11.2015.

Sunanda and others

.....Petitioners.

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gurinderjit Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA, J. (ORAL)

By way of the present petition, the petitioners have challenged the order dated 17.2.2007 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar whereby in a criminal revision filed by the respondent No.2-complainant, against the order dated 24.10.2006 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Amritsar, the order dated 24.10.2006 was set aside and the petitioners were ordered to be summoned as additional accused to face trial along with other accused under Section 498-A and 406 IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that even the main accused, namely, Suresh Kumar (husband) and Kishore Chand (father-in-

law) have been acquitted by the trial Court vide judgment dated 5.9.2011.

Learned State counsel is not in a position to controvert the aforesaid fact.

Considering the fact that the husband, who is the main accused as well as the father-in-law of the respondent No.2-complainant have been acquitted by the trial Court, the present petition deserves to be accepted.

Consequently, the instant petition is allowed and the impugned order dated 17.2.2007 passed by learned Sessions Judge, Amritsar, is set aside.

November 20, 2015
Yag Dutt

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE