

105 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-317 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: January 08, 2015

Mangla Devi

.... Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present: Mr. Jitender Malik, Advocate for the petitioner.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh J.(Oral)

The allegations against the petitioner are that she impersonated one Chandro Devi. As a result of the same, land measuring 14 kanasl, 7 marlas was transferred in favour of the co-accused.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was taken on the pretext of preparing the BPL ration card.

I am of the view that these contentions are only a defence, which are to be examined at later stage. The custodial interrogation of the petitioner is necessary to find out the conspiracy and other formalities of the investigation.

Therefore, there is no ground for granting the anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 08, 2015
sarita