

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3169-2015 (O&M)**

**Date of decision: 12.05.2015**

**Charanjit Kaur**

**... Petitioner**

**Vs.**

**State of Punjab and ors.**

**... Respondents**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK**

Present: Mr. V.K. Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Ms. Anmol Grewal, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate  
for respondents No.7 & 10.

\*\*\*\*\*

**RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)**

Petitioner, by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure ('Cr.P.C.' for short), seeks a direction against the official respondents No.1 to 4 for taking appropriate action against private respondents No.5 to 10.

Notice of motion was issued and pursuant thereto, reply by way of affidavit dated 19.03.2015 was filed on behalf of respondents No.1, 2 & 4.

Learned counsel for the State submits that police authorities have recorded the statement of the petitioner herself. She has stated that her son has gone back to Italy and he used to talk to her. She further submits that petitioner herself is an accused in FIR No.108 dated 18.10.2014 under Sections 212 IPC, registered at Police Station Ajitwal, District Moga and her

son is also an accused in two FIRs i.e. FIR No.25 dated 25.02.2004 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 471 IPC, registered at Police Station Chankyapuri, Delhi and FIR No.85 dated 25.04.2012 under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, registered at Police Station Salim Tabri, District Ludhiana. Son of the petitioner is also declared proclaimed offender. He concluded by submitting that in view of the abovesaid glaring facts of the case, present petition is wholly misconceived and may be dismissed, as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his ignorance about the statement made by the petitioner and also about the fact that her son is a proclaimed offender.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and without expressing any opinion in the merits of the case, lest it should prejudice the rights of either of the parties, present petition is disposed of, with a direction to Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga-respondent No.4 to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioner, who is stated to a widow and redress her grievance at an early date by taking an appropriate action in the matter but in any case, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

With the abovesaid observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

12.05.2015  
*vishnu*

**[ RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK ]**  
**JUDGE**