

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-3168-2015
Date of Decision: 25.03.2015**

Sandeep Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & Ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HONBLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: Mr. A.S. Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Varun Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepak Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

Respondents No.4 and 5 in person.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 filed in the Court today, is taken on record and a copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioner.

This petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty from being invaded by respondents No.4 to 9.

This Court vide order dated 30.01.2015 issued notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only. In response to the aforesaid order, learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 filed reply and it is averred in para No. 8:

“That in reply to para 8 of the petition, it is submitted that the petitioner is the real daughter of answering respondents and the answering respondents never threatened the petitioner as has been alleging this paragraph by the petitioner.”

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 has made statement at the bar that respondents No.4 and 5 do not wish to interfere with the life and liberty of the petitioner in any manner.

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from HC Gurmit Singh, states that he has not found any incriminating material against the petitioner nor she has any antecedent behavior of any criminal activity in the past. Resultantly, the prayer made by the petitioner seems to be bona fide. At this juncture she may not be having any threat perception at the hands of private respondents, still this Court feels that in case any representation is made by her in respect of any threat having been made by private respondents, the authority i.e. respondent No.2 would be obligated to look into the matter promptly and in need be, provide necessary police protection to the petitioner.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of.

25.03.2015*Bhumika***(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE**