

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

.....

Criminal Misc. No.M-31724 of 2014

.....

Date of decision:22.1.2015

Kuldeep Singh Yadav and others

.....Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

....

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Inderjit Singh

.....

Present: Mr. Vivek Salathia, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Grewal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for complainant-respondent No.2.

.....

Inderjit Singh, J.

This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. praying for quashing of FIR No.42 dated 24.2.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector 17/18, District Gurgaon and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom in view of the compromise (Annexure-P.2).

The FIR has been registered on the statement of complainant-Gurvinder Singh as the accused-petitioners cheated him. Now with intervention of respectable persons, the matter has been amicably compromised between the parties and they have resolved their dispute,

therefore, they were directed to appear before learned Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in support of the compromise. After doing the needful, learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Gurgaon has sent his report dated 21.1.2015 submitting that the compromise arrived at between the parties is without any pressure or coercion from any one and the same is genuine one. Complainant Gurvinder Singh has stated that he has entered into compromise with the accused persons without any pressure or coercion and he has no objection if the FIR is quashed.

Learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana, on instructions from the Investigating Officer and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 admit the factum of compromise and submit that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned Deputy Advocate General, Haryana and learned counsel for complainant-respondent No.2 and have gone through the record.

In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

[3]

Therefore, keeping in view the fact that the matter has been amicably settled and the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR (Cr.) 543, wherein it has been held that the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. can be exercised to quash the proceedings in respect of criminal cases arising from commercial, financial, mercantile, civil, partnership or such like transactions or the offences arising out of matrimony relating to dowry etc. or the family disputes where the wrong is basically private or personnel in nature and the parties have resolved their entire dispute even though they are not compoundable, this petition is allowed and FIR No.42 dated 24.2.2014 (Annexure-P.1) registered for the offences under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B IPC at Police Station Sector 17/18, District Gurgaon and all subsequent proceedings arising out of the same are hereby quashed.

January 22, 2015.

(Inderjit Singh)
Judge

hsp