

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CR No. 664 of 2003 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 5, 2015

Shami Bhatia

...Petitioner

Versus

Jai Kishan Lal

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K. KANNAN

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: None for the parties.

K. KANNAN, J. (Oral)

There is no representation for either parties. The revision petition is dismissed for non-prosecution. No application for restoration will be entertained by the Registry without a proof of deposit of Rs. 5,000/- as security for costs to the credit of the case.

August 5, 2015
prem

(K.KANNAN)
JUDGE