

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

Crl. Misc. No.M-31628 of 2014

Date of Decision: 12.02.2015

Menakshi and another

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Munish Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana
for the respondent-State.

Mr. J.S. Ghumman, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 6.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners is not present even in the second round.

Petitioner no.1-Meenakshi is present in the Court and submits that she is residing with her parents.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 submits that neither any threat was ever given in past by respondents No.4 to 6 nor they intend to give in future as well.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 and also the fact that petitioner No.1 is residing with her parents, no directions are required to be issued.

The present petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

12.02.2015
gurpreet

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE