

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

PARAMJIT KAUR SAINI
2015.02.10 16:24
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M-3155 of 2015

Date of Decision: 10.02.2015

Jaspal Singh

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

Present: Mr. Navpreet Kohli, Advocate
for the petitioner

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

CRM-4157 of 2015

Allowed as prayed for. Annexure P-3 is taken on record.

CRM-M- 3155 of 2015

The petitioner has approached this Court under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of direction to official respondent No. 2 (DGP, Vigilance Haryana) for handing over investigation in FIR No. 11 dated 10.12.2014 for offence under Sections 7/8 of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at Police Station, State Vigilance Bureau, Panchkula to a senior officer not below the rank of Deputy Superintendent of Police vigilance.

The primary grievance of the petitioner is that despite expiry of about 02 months since lodging of the FIR, respondent No. 4 one of the accused has not been arrested, the investigation is liable to be transferred to

some senior officer.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merit of the controversy, the petition stands disposed of with a direction to the DGP Vigilance, Haryana to look into grievance of the petitioner and if any substance is found therein, necessary steps be taken in regard to transfer of investigation from the present investigating officer to some other officer.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

10.02.2015
PARAMJIT