

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-315-2015 (O&M)

Date of decision : 12.03.2015

Het Ram

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Bhupinder Ghai, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana,
assisted by ASI Ishwar Singh,
P.S. Sector 5, Panchkula.

Mr. Diwan S. Adlakha, Advocate,
for the complainant.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.152 dated 28.03.2014, registered under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, (for short, 'the IPC') at Police Station Sector 5, Panchkula.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is not named in the FIR, nor any recovery is to be effected

from him. The agreement arrived at between the petitioner and the complainant on 13.12.2012, on behalf of Ashok Malhotra and Pawan Pasricha, is forged.

On the other hand, the learned State counsel, on instructions, submits that the matter is still under investigation.

Heard.

This is the second petition for anticipatory bail preferred by the petitioner. The earlier petition bearing CRM-M No.17231 of 2014, was dismissed on 29.10.2014. The learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to cite any fresh circumstance that has arisen in favour of the petitioner during the interregnum. The contention with regard to the agreement being a forged documents, was raised earlier also. The FIR was registered on 28.03.2014, whereas, the first bail petition was dismissed by this Court on 29.10.2014. Since then, the petitioner has been successfully evading the process of law. Therefore, no case for grant of relief sought is made out.

Dismissed.

12.03.2015
atulsehi

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE