

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-31464-2014
Date of decision: 1.12.2015**

Mehar Singh Parmar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Anuradha Parmar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Amit Babbar, Advocate for
Mr. R. S. Manhas, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Sunil Agnihotri, Advocate for the respondent.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Admittedly, petitioners have not availed their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order.

In view of the above, petitioners are relegated to their equally efficacious alternative remedy of revision against the impugned summoning order, at the first instance with liberty to the petitioners to approach this Court again by way of similar quashing petition, if necessity arises. It is also made clear that if the petitioners would file appropriate revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a period of one month from today, respondent shall not raise the issue of limitation against the petitioners.

Disposed of, accordingly.

**1.12.2015
mks**

**(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE**