IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.31385 of 2015

Date of decision: 28th September, 2015

Sikander Singh

… Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

… Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gursimran Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. C.S. Brar, Dy. Advocate General, Punjab.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

The supplementary bail application has been filed in the light of earlier orders of this Court passed in bail application bearing CRM-M No.7736 of 2015 wherein due to pure clerical inadvertent error learned counsel for the petitioner had mentioned the name of petitioner Sikander Singh as son of Manjit Singh when it ought to have been Sikander Singh son of Surjit Singh.

Since the petitioner had earlier been granted regular bail by this Court and being a pure inadvertent clerical error, the same is

RATTAN PAL SINGH
2015.09.29 17:23
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court

allowed to be rectified and the supplementary bail application is allowed in those terms in the light of previous orders.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 28, 2015
rps

RATTAN PAL SINGH
2015.09.29 17:23
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court