

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No.M-31330 of 2015
Date of Decision: 15.09.2015**

Baljeet Singh & another

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Deepinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that though the petitioners had the remedy to file a revision petition against the impugned summoning order dated 9.3.2015 passed by the trial Court, he has not invoked the said remedy. However, he seeks liberty to file a revision petition against the summoning order provided the delay is condoned.

This petition is disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners prefer a revision petition against the impugned summoning order within a week from today, the trial Court shall condone the delay.

September 15, 2015
AK

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**