

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 31273 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : October 06, 2015

Pradeep Dhankar,

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana,

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

1. **Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
2. **To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
3. **Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of regular bail in case FIR No.422, dated 20.5.2014, registered under Sections 420, 409, 467, 468, 471, 406 of the IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, Gurgaon.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that it is a Magisterial trial case and the custody has now become punitive.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Joginder Singh, has stated that the petitioner is accused of having embezzled provident fund account of Class IV labourers and that 4 out of 7 witnesses have been examined and instead of granting bail to the petitioner, it would

be appropriate to put a time cap on the trial. She has stated that the prosecution will lead its entire evidence on or before 30.11.2015 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

Keeping in view the statement of counsel for the respondent, I dismiss this petition at this stage but direct the trial Court to release the petitioner on bail in case the prosecution fails to conclude the evidence on or before 30.11.2015 subject to the accused not obstructing the same.

October 06, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE