

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35157 of 2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: September 22, 2015

Lekh Raj and Another

...Petitioners

Versus

Kulwinder Kumar

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sandeep Arora, Advocate for the petitioners.

**Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate
for the respondent.**

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(ORAL)

CRM No. 28266 of 2014

Prayer in this application, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is for placing on record reply on behalf of the respondent.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the contents of the application which is duly supported by an affidavit, reply filed on behalf of respondent, is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions.

CRM stands disposed of.

CRM No. 66984 of 2012

Prayer in this application, filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., is

for grant of exemption from filing certified copies of Annexure P-1 to Annexure P-7.

After hearing learned counsel for the parites and going through the application, which is duly supported by an affidavit the applicants are granted exemption from filing certified copies of Annexure P-1 to Annexure P-7.

CRM stands disposed of.

CRM-M No. 35157 of 2012

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in view of the judgment passed by Hon'ble the Supreme Court in the matter of ***Mohit alias Sonu & another Versus State of U.P. and another, 2013(3) R.C.R. (Criminal) 673***, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition to approach the Court of Session for redressal of his grievances in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the respondent has no objection to the above prayer.

The present petition is disposed of with a direction that if criminal revision petition is presented before the Court of Session within three weeks of passing of this order along with application for condonation of delay in filing the revision petition, then the said application shall be decided liberally while taking into consideration the fact that the petitioners have filed the present petition against the impugned summoning order.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2015
Poonam (II)