

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-31173 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: October 13, 2015

Kashmir Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.Ranjan Lakhanpal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Simsi Dhir Malhotra, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C.
for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.276 dated 03.08.2015
under Sections 406, 120-B and 506 IPC, registered at Police Station
Phagwara City, District Kapurthala.

Notice of motion was issued and learned State counsel
appeared and contested the petition.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as
learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

From the record, I find that in the present case, FIR has
been registered on the basis of complaint made by complainant
Narinderjeet Kaur, who was married to Gurinderjit Singh on
03.07.2011. The present petitioner is father-in-law of the complainant.

The petitioner has already joined the investigation. As argued, he is not required for custodial interrogation or investigation. Otherwise also, the dowry articles are not supposed to be in his possession. Learned State counsel has stated that only one gold *karah* remains to be recovered in this case.

The trial of the case will take long time. No useful purpose will be served by sending the petitioner to custody. He is not required for any interrogation or investigation purposes.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the merits of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail. Therefore, the present petition is allowed. The order dated 14.09.2015 granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

October 13, 2015
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE