

**Sr. No. 236
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM No. M-31156 of 2015
Date of Decision: 17.11.2015**

Gurbakhsh Kaur

--Petitioner

Vs

State of U.T.Chandigarh and others

--Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Liaqat Ali, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep S. Gill, Asstt. Public Prosecutor,
for Union Territory, Chandigarh.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Petitioner by way of instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., seeks appropriate directions against the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner from the private respondents.

Learned counsel for Union Territory, Chandigarh on instructions from SI Mohinder Singh submits that representation dated 10.08.2015 (Annexure P-1) received from the petitioner was inquired into. Her statement was recorded. Finally, every possible police help was offered to the petitioner informing her that as and when she face any kind of threat from anybody including from private respondents No.4 to 6, she may call the nearest police station or the Senior Superintendent of Police and she would be provided police help as and when required. He further submits that in this view of the matter, present petition does not survive and the same may be

disposed of as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner also fairly states that let the present petition be disposed of in view of the statement made by learned counsel for State of U.T., Chandigarh but liberty may be granted to the petitioner to approach the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 as and when necessity arises and he may be directed to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh-respondent No.2 that as and when petitioner approaches him raising any threat perception from respondents No. 4 to 6 or anybody else on their behalf, such a threat perception raised by the petitioner shall be looked into forthwith and appropriate action shall be taken so as to provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner from respondents No. 4 to 6 or anybody else on their behalf.

With the above said observations made and directions issued, present petition stands disposed of.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

17.11.2015
vandana