

CRM-M-3110 of 2015
Date of Decision: 30.01.2015

Ram Avtar

---Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

---Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

**Present: Mr. Mukesh Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner**

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

REKHA MITTAL, J.

Counsel for the petitioner contends that in FIR No. 386 dated 16.12.2014 lodged at Police Station, Kanina, son and nephew of the petitioner are being sought to be indicted in the crime due to FIR No. 366 dated 22.12.2013 registered against respondent No. 7 at the behest of one Om Parkash, a relative of the petitioner for offence punishable under Sections 323, 506 read with Section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. It is further submitted that the petitioner has submitted a representation to the Superintendent of Police, District Police Headquarter, Narnaul (Annexure P-3) to express his grievance.

Without meaning to express any opinion on merits of the controversy or involvement of family members of the petitioner in the crime registered vide FIR No. 386 dated 16.12.2014, the petition stands disposed

of with direction to the said authority to look into grievance of the petitioner. However, nothing stated in this order would create a restraint against action, in accordance with law, if any family member of the petitioner has committed any offence.

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

30.01.2015

PARAMJIT