

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-31055 of 2015
Date of Decision:-19.10.2015**

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Ms. Poonam Tara Prasher, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sultan Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that initially the petition was filed seeking regular bail on various grounds, however, with the afflux of time, the petitioner has become entitled for concession of regular bail in the light of Section 167(2) Cr.P.C.

Learned State counsel on instructions from Sub Inspector Jagtar Singh submits that the petitioner is at liberty to approach the trial Court for seeking the relief of regular bail in the light of Section 167(2) Cr.P.C.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw the present petition to enable him to approach the trial Court for the grant of regular bail under Section 167(2) Cr.P.C. In case such bail application is preferred by the petitioner, the trial Court shall decide the same preferably within two weeks.

Disposed of.

October 19, 2015
Vijay Asija

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE