

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-31047-2015

Date of Decision: September 14, 2015

Ranjit Singh @ Rana

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Ms. Rakhi Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel contends that the petitioner would be satisfied if the Senior Superintendent of Police, Tarn Taran/respondent No. 2, is directed to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) in a time bound manner.

In view of above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the representation (Annexure P-2) within two months of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

**(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE**

September 14, 2015
Pkapoor