

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Criminal Misc. No. M-30908 of 2015
Date of Decision: 24.09.2015**

Madhu

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Surender Saini, Advocate
for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Petitioner namely Madhu widow of deceased Parmod has filed the present petition under Section 439 CrPC for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.446 dated 20.11.2014 under Sections 306/34 IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Sonapat.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that as per the alleged suicide note written by deceased Parmod, no case for invoking Section 306 IPC is made out against the petitioner. The suicide note, as reproduced in this petition, reads as under:-

*“20.11.2014 I Parmod son of Balbir Singh Chamar.
The cause of my death is that my wife was not loyal. I*

had seen her many a times. But out of shame I could not say her anything. At the day, I had asked her to go inside then she called at phone No.100 and got me arrested. On 26.11.2014 and on 15.11.2014 (I called) Meena her mother's No.09643863220 and Vikram @ Vicky's phone No.09718644816 that after my death my wife should not come to my house. The same is recorded in my phone. You can hear the same after opening it. Thank you. Parmod 20.11.2014. Everthing is for the women and not for the men.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner further contends that the deceased - Parmod, who committed suicide, had just stated in his suicide note that the petitioner was not loyal to him. He has also accused the mother as well as the brother of the petitioner. However, in CRM-M-10697 of 2015 decided on 10.4.2015 and CRM-M-1445-2015 decided on 14.7.2015, this Court has already admitted Meena and Vikram, who are mother as well as brother of the petitioner, on bail. Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner is in custody since 8.12.2014 and no prosecution witness has been examined so far.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Birender Singh, submits that since there are specific allegations against the petitioner, on account of which the deceased Parmod committed suicide, she is not entitled for bail.

Heard.

Though the deceased Parmod committed suicide on 20.11.2014 but there is a mention of date i.e. 26.11.2014 in the suicide note. Keeping in view the fact that the trial is at the initial stage and will take sufficient long time, this petition is allowed and the petitioner is admitted to regular bail subject to her furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat.

September 24, 2015
AK

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE