

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VIJAY ASIJA
130
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**Criminal Misc. No. M-33693 of 2013
Date of Decision:-10.9.2015**

Dharambir and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. *Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?*
2. *To be referred to reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Present:- Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chopra, DAG Haryana.

Mr. Jatinder Dhanda, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

HARI PAL VERMA J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2-complainant submits that in the case in hand the charges have already been framed and there is no challenge to the order of framing of charges.

Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners wishes to withdraw the present petition, however, with liberty to take all pleas available to him under law before the trial Court.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

September 10, 2015
Vijay Asija

(**HARI PAL VERMA**)
JUDGE