

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30882 of 2015

Date of decision: September 11, 2015

Mukesh Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. R.K. Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.135 dated 13.07.2015, under Sections 498-A, 458, 354, 354-B, 323, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Civil Lines Patiala, District Patiala.

Prosecution story, in brief, is that petitioner got married to the complainant in February, 2008. However, thereafter, petitioner started harassing the complainant on account of insufficiency of dowry. On 29.01.2015, at about 6:30 PM, petitioner gave beatings to the complainant and abused her. Due to this reason, complainant came to her parental house. On 08.07.2015, at about 9:30/10:00 PM, petitioner along with his co-accused had entered the parental house of the complainant. Petitioner and his co-

accused armed with Hockeys attacked the complainant party. Petitioner gave blows on the person of the complainant and her brother. Petitioner also gave Hockey blows on the person of the sister of the complainant.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has been falsely involved in this case due to matrimonial dispute. Petitioner was ready to amicably settle the matrimonial dispute.

In the present case, allegations levelled against the petitioner are serious in nature. Petitioner had been harassing the complainant on account of demand of dowry and had been giving beatings to her. Due to this reason, complainant came to her parental house. However, on 08.07.2015, petitioner along with his co-accused came to the parental house of the complainant and inflicted injuries on the person of the complainant and her family members. Petitioner might be required for custodial interrogation.

Hence, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made out.

Dismissed.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

September 11, 2015
mahavir