

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

1. **C.R.M-M No.30871-2014**
Date of Decision : 14.09.2015

Vikas Chandra Petitioner

versus

Mrs. Shakun Chandra & others Respondents

2. **CRR (F)- No.42-2015**
Date of Decision : 14.09.2015

Shakun Chandra & others Petitioners

versus

Vikas Chandra Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CRM-M-30871-2014)
for the respondent (in CRR (F)-42-2015)

Mr. Ram Bilas Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents (in CRM-M-30871-2014)
for the petitioners (in CRR (F)-42-2015)

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of the above mentioned two petitions. Since common questions of law and facts are involved therein, they are being decided by this common order.

These are two petitions, one for reducing the interim maintenance and one for enhancing the same.

After arguing for sometime, learned counsel has agreed that the trial of the main case be expedited. Learned counsel for the respondent-wife states that respondent-wife would conclude her entire evidence within three months.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-husband states that he would conclude his entire evidence within one month.

In the circumstances, the trial Court is directed to decide the case finally on or before 31.01.2016. Obviously whatever is paid to the respondent-wife now would be subject to the final order of maintenance.

Both the petitions are disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending Criminal Misc. Application, if any, also stands disposed of.

14.09.2015

Pooja Sharma-I

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**