

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl. Misc. No. M-30861 of 2014
DATE OF DECISION: 23.02.2015

Ravi Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

BEFORE:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

- 1. Whether reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see judgment?**
- 2. To be referred to reporters or not?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?**

Present:- Mr. Jagjit Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Arpandeeep Narula, Advocate
for the complainant.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No. 217 dated 1.3.2014 registered under Sections 328,376(N),450,406 IPC and 4/12/13 of POSCO Act and later on deleted Sections 4/12/13 of POSCO Act and added offence under Section 66/66E of IT Act at Police Station City Sirsa, District Sirsa.

Learned counsel for the respondent-State on instructions from SI Dariya Singh submits that out of total 18 prosecution witnesses, 11 have been examined, 2 have been given up and other remaining witnesses are formal witnesses only.

Keeping in view the stage of trial and nature of offence, no ground is made out to grant regular bail to the petitioner.

Dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to make all efforts to conclude the trial preferably within a period of four months.

February 23, 2015

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**