

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 30779 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : September 21, 2015

Parbhu Ram,

..... Petitioners

v.

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Karan Pathak, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana

Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the complainant.

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?**
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?**
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?**

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.66, dated 23.2.2015, registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B of the IPC, at Police Station Tosham, District Bhiwani.

Counsel for the petitioner states that the parties have settled the matter and the complainant is present in person.

Learned DAG Haryana, on instructions from ASI Satish Kumar, has accepted that the complainant is indeed present in Court who has stated that she has settled the matter.

In these circumstances, I do not see any reason to deny the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Resultantly, this petition is allowed and it is directed that in the event of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on anticipatory bail by the Investigating Officer to his satisfaction subject to the compliance of the provisions as contained in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

September 21, 2015
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE