

208

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-3077 of 2015

Date of Decision:04.02.2015

Reena Malik

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.C. PURI

Present: Mr. Aftab Singh Thara, Advocate for
Mr. Ashwani Talwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Bijender Dhankhar, Advocate for complainant.

K.C. PURI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner Reena Malik has applied for grant of regular bail in case FIR No.67, dated 20.12.2014, under Sections 376, 120-B, 34 of IPC registered at Police Station Women, Sonapat.

As per allegations of the prosecution, the prosecutrix aged 19 years old has stated that she along with her friend Shalu visited the shop of one Pawan Kumar for getting the mobile repair on 17.10.2014. Shalu called the prosecutrix to come on railway station without informing her family members. It is further alleged that Pawan Kumar gave a ticket to the prosecutrix for Chandigarh along with mobile phone and sim card and promised to reach at Chandigarh but he did not reach Chandigarh. Brother of Pawan Kumar namely Neeraj met her on 18.10.2014. Neeraj took the prosecutrix to his relative at Panipat. It is further alleged that prosecutrix was taken to the PG owned by the present petitioner.

It is further alleged that on 25.10.2014 the police of the police Station Sonapat took the prosecutrix to police station Sonapat where her father, mother and Bua were present and she was taken to Magistrate for recording her statement. However, Neeraj, Pawan and Gaurav, in connivance of each other had threatened the prosecutrix before coming to the police station that in case she made any statement before the police, then they will defame her by putting the video prepared on internet. The petitioner is in custody since 03.01.2015.

On 25.10.2014 prosecutrix made a statement before Judicial Magistrate First Class in which she had mentioned that on 17.10.2014 she went to Chandigarh voluntarily without any pressure. She was harassed by her parents/family on 18.10.2014 she went to Panipat and on 19.10.2014 she went to Sonapat back and thereafter started living in Industrial Area in a PG. She was aggrieved on account of the behavior of her parents/family and she does not want to go with her family/parents. However later on version was improved that petitioner asked the prosecutrix to have sex with one Surinder Kumar with whom the petitioner is stated to be living in a relationship but the prosecutrix refused.

The petitioner is a lady, so, without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition stands accepted, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on her furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of Trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Sonapat.

04.02.2015

Mohan

(K.C.PURI)
JUDGE