

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Criminal Misc.No.M-30738 of 2015 (O&M)

Date of decision: 10th September, 2015

Manju Mahant

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Prashant Vashisth, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., seeking anticipatory bail in case FIR No.82 dated 28.03.2015, registered at Police Station Salem Tabri, Ludhiana City, under Sections 363, 366, 376, 346 and 120-B IPC.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the record.

As per FIR, the prosecutrix, aged about 23 years, was kidnapped by pulling her into the car. It is also stated in the FIR that the prosecutrix was taken away by three unidentified persons, out of them, one was Vadivel and the other was Sunny. During investigation, the prosecutrix and her mother also gave an application, alleging specific role against petitioner Manju Mahant. It is also stated in that application that the petitioner threatened the prosecutrix to click her naked pictures if she refused to marry with Vadivel and also snatched her gold ornaments. When the prosecutrix demanded back her gold ornaments, the petitioner asked her to perform naked dance thereafter he would return the said ornaments. There are also allegations that the prosecutrix was tortured, kept illegally by tying her and against her wishes, she was forcibly married with Varivel.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and nature and gravity of the offence, I do not find it a fit case where the petitioner is entitled to the benefit of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the instant petition stands dismissed.

10th September, 2015

mamta

MAMTA MALHOTRA
2015.09.14 13:46
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

**(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE**