

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM No. M- 30754of 2014

Date of decision: 13.01.2015

Adarsh Kohli

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Jatinder Kumar Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Challenged in the present petition is the order dated 12.5.2014, passed by the learned Sessions Judge, Panchkula affirming that of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Panchkula, vide which at the stage of framing of charge, the complaint filed by the petitioner under Sections 499, 500, 501 IPC was dismissed and the accused was discharged.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the orders of the two Courts below.

It comes out that in the complaint, allegations were levelled regarding defamation. The Magistrate took a view that no defamation is made out. The order was examined in revision and was upheld.

I am of the view that under the cover of Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioner wants second revision of the order of the Magistrate. The matter has been examined by the two Courts below. There are concurrent findings. There is no ground to interfere in the same.

The petition stands dismissed.

13.01.2015

gk

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge