

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-30677-2015

Date of Decision: November 16, 2015

Bebi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr. Krishan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sanjay Kumar, AAG, Haryana,
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

Ms. Minakshi Poswal, Advocate,
for Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for respondent No. 4.

1. *Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J (Oral)

Learned counsel for the State on instructions from HC Sandeep Kumar of Police Station, City, Jagadhri, District Yamunanagar, submits that the charge-sheet (challan) has been presented against five out of eight private respondents. He further submits that qua remaining three private respondents, the charge-sheet (challan) would also be presented in due

course of time after completion of the investigation.

In view of above statement, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press this petition at this stage.

Ordered accordingly.

November 16, 2015
P Kapoor

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE