

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM No.M-30725 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.04.2015

Arun and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE R.P. NAGRATH

Present: Mr. S.K. Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Addl. AG, Haryana
for the State.

R.P. Nagrath, J. (Oral)

Prayer made in the instant petition is for issuance of direction to respondents No.1 to 3 to safeguard the life and liberty of petitioners.

The instant petition was found to have become infructuous as protection was granted to the petitioners. On 04.11.2014, a further direction was issued for the purpose of deliberation of issuance of notification on the lines of notification dated 10.08.2014 issued by the State of Punjab.

Reply has been filed by learned State counsel by way of affidavit of Additional Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana.

Learned State counsel submits that guidelines Annexure

R-7/1 dated 10.8.2009 have already been issued but subsequent to that under the directions issued in the judgement passed by a Division Bench of this Court in CWP No.6717 of 2009 fresh guidelines Annexure R-7/2 dated 21.02.2011 were issued taking care of such incidents. Therefore, no such further indulgence of this Court is required.

The instant petition is, therefore, disposed of.

(R.P. Nagrath)
Judge

27.04.2015
sk