

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Crl. Misc. No.M-30690 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision : 19.01.2015**

Kuljeet Kaur & anotherPetitioners
versus
State of Punjab & others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurinder Jeet Singh, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Darshan Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 8.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The petitioners are seeking directions to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and personal liberty. Respondents No.4 to 8 be directed not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and further directions to respondents No.2 and 3 not to allow/let respondents No.4 to 8 or anybody else to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners or to harass them in any manner against the wishes of the petitioners or to cause any detriment to the petitioners in any manner.

Petitioner No.1 has solemnised marriage with petitioner No.2 against the wishes of her family members, who is major. On 14.09.2014, Mr. Darshan Singh Sidhu, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No.4 to 8. Mr. Harjinder Singh-respondent No.4 (father of petitioner No.1) was present in Court and petitioner No.1, along with her husband-petitioner

No.2, was also present. Mr. Harjinder Singh-respondent No.4 met his daughter Kuljeet Kaur-petitioner No.1. Petitioner No.1 on a specific query put by this Court, replied that she wanted to accompany her husband i.e. petitioner No.2. Petitioner No.1 is major and cannot be forced to accompany her father. However, they were given liberty to approach respondent No.2 for protection, as per the instructions dated 10.08.2010 issued by the Home Department, Punjab.

Petitioners have given the statements that their marriage has now been accepted and they do not require security any more.

In view of above, no further orders are required to be passed.

Disposed of.

19.01.2015

Vinay

**(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE**