

**CRM-M-30651-2014**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-30651-2014**

**Date of Decision:07.04.2015**

Ravi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent(s)

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH**

- 1) Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?.
- 2) To be referred to the Reporters or not ?.
- 3) Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

Present: Mr. Manoj K. Tanwar, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Sheoran, DAG, Haryana.

**Paramjeet Singh, J. (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in a case arising out of FIR No.30 dated 12.01.2014, registered at Police Station City Gurgaon, District Gurgaon, under Sections 148, 149, 307, 364, 120-B (wrongly mentioned as 129-B in the petition) of the Indian Penal Code and 25/54/59 of the Arms Act.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that challan has been presented and out of 18 witnesses cited by the prosecution, none has been examined. The similarly situated co-accused have already been granted bail

**CRM-M-30651-2014**

by the trial Court and the petitioner is entitled to bail on the ground of parity. The petitioner has been behind bars since April, 2014. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner is not required for custodial interrogation.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case as well as the fact that similarly situated co-accused have already been released on bail by the trial Court and also the fact that trial would not be concluded in near future, the petitioner can be granted concession of bail.

Without expressing any opinion on merits of the case, the petition is allowed. Petitioner is ordered to be released on bail, on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds, to the satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Magistrate, Gurgaon.

**07.04.2015**  
**parveen kumar**

**(Paramjeet Singh)**  
**Judge**