

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

HEMLATA
2015.04.29 16:44
Printed to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CRM-M No.30444 of 2014

Date of Decision: 28.04.2015

Amarjit Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

...Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.S.S.Goraya, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Piyush Bansal, DAG, Punjab

Mr.V.S.Rana, Advocate for
Mr.Rajesh Gupta, Advocate for
respondent Nos.2, 4 and 6.

1. *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgement?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the present petition has been rendered infructuous since the learned Sessions Court has set aside the order of summoning under Section 319 Cr.P.C.

Ordered accordingly.

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE

April 28, 2015
hemlata