

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CRM-M-30346-2015

Decided on: December 10, 2015.

Sandeep Kumar Mahna and another

.... Petitioners

Versus

U.T. of Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. Arnav Kumar Sood, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Addl. P.P., for U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Ajaivir Singh, Advocate, for respondent No.2-complainant.

M.M.S. BEDI, J (ORAL)

Petitioners seek quashing of FIR No.347 dated 24.07.2014, under Sections 406, 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Sector 34, Chandigarh on the basis of compromise.

The said FIR was registered at the instance of respondent No.2 Monica Mahna alleging that she was married to petitioner No.1 on 19.02.2006. She had been maltreated and harassed by petitioner No.1 and his family members on account of demand of dowry.

Parties have entered into compromise and decided to stay together. The terms of the compromise have been entered into between the parties vide compromise dated 08.06.2015. The compromise has been placed on record.

Statements of the parties regarding matter having been compromised was recorded by Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Chandigarh. On the basis of statements of the parties, a report has been received to the effect that the matter has been compromised voluntarily without any threat, coercion or undue influence.

In view of matrimonial dispute having been amicably resolved, there does not appear to be any hindrance in permitting the parties to compromise by quashing the proceedings.

Following the judgments in **B.S. Joshi and others Vs. State of Haryana and another 2003 (2) RCR (Criminal) 888** and **Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052**, the petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all the criminal proceedings emanating therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise subject to the condition that the parties will continue to abide by the terms of the compromise arrived at between the parties. In case of commission of any substantive offence, it will be open to the complainant to take necessary legal steps either to revive the proceedings or to launch similar proceedings.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

December 10, 2015
harsha