

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-No. 30286 of 2015(O&M)
Date of decision: 01.10.2015

Ashok Kumar @ Shoki

-----Petitioner (s)

V/s

State of Haryana

-----Respondent(s)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present:- Mr. Amit Choudhary, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the instant petition may be disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to expedite the disposal of trial.

Learned State counsel submits that as against the total 17 witnesses, 14 witnesses have already been examined.

In view of the above, the instant petition is disposed of with a direction to the trial Court to expedite the trial.

October 01, 2015

Anjal

**(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE**