

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-30359-2014 (O&M)

Date of Decision: October 30, 2015

R.K.Bharti

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vinod Kumar Grover and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NARESH KUMAR SANGHI

Present: Mr.Jastej Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.H.S.Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr.P.S.Ahluwalia, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

.....

- 1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

NARESH KUMAR SANGHI, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that since the impugned complaint has already been withdrawn, therefore, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned proxy counsel for respondent No.1 states that he has no objection to the above prayer.

So ordered.

October 30, 2015
meenu

(NARESH KUMAR SANGHI)
JUDGE